The Roadway Speed Limit Review Packet includes three documents:

- 1. Data Collection OFFICE Worksheet (1 page)
- 2. Data Collection FIELD Worksheet (2 pages)
- 3. Speed Limit Determination Worksheet (2 pages)

The speed limit study should be conducted using the following four steps:

- 1. Determine the segmentation of the study road
 - An initial review of ariel imagry, the crash report, and the roadway cross section should be conducted to determine if the roadway should be split into two or more segments. Reasons for segmenting the roadway may include:
 - Considerable change in driveway density
 - Considerable change in crash pattern
 - Considerable change in roadway cross-section outside of an intersection influence area
- 2. Using an ariel image tool (e.g. Google Maps, Bing Maps, etc), complete the Data Collection OFFICE OFFICE Worksheet. For a definition of terms, see the User Guide for Roadway Speed Limit Review Forms PDF. In addition to a glossary of terms, the document bookmarks important pages of select reference documents.
- 3. Complete the Data Collection FIELD Worksheet. For a definition of terms, see the User Guide for Roadway Speed Limit Review Forms PDF. In addition to a glossary of terms, the document bookmarks important pages of select reference documents.
- 4. Complete the Speed Limit Determination Worksheet
 - The purpose of the determination worksheet is to document the considerations made by the engineer when determining the speed limit. For each element, place an X in the appropriate column to reflect if the data collected for that element supports reducing, increasing, or maintaining the current speed limit. The **Quick Guide on Factors to Consider for Speed Studies** PDF provides a summary of research-based evidence regarding the relationship between each element and speed. If the no data were collected for the element, place an X in the "not evaluated column".

In the final column, an X should be placed in any row for which the engineer judges an element to be critical in the determination of the speed limit. This column assists in recording the relative differences in importance of the elements given the context of the area in which the study





| Roadway Speed Lir | nit Review Dat | a Collection | OFFICE Wor | rksheet | Version 2 | |
|--|--------------------------|--------------|-----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|--|
| Date: M M / D D / | Y Y Refere | ence #: | | Completed I | Ву: | |
| County: | Municipality: | | | NCDOT Route ID: | | |
| Study Road: | | Length: | miles | Study Motiv | vation: | |
| Functional Class: | | NCDOT (| Complete Stre | et Area Type: | (<u> </u> | |
| Study segement begins | | | | of | | |
| _ | (distance) | (units) | (direction) | | (reference road) | |
| Study segement ends | | | | of | | |
| - | (distance) | (units) | (direction) | <u> </u> | (reference road) | |
| Speed limit upstream of en | ding point: | 1 | mph | | | |
| Speed limit downstream of | starting point: | | mph | | | |
| Current Speed Limit: | mph | Result of | 85% Speed S | tudy: | Not Conducted | |
| AADT: | | | | | | |
| Traffic Composition: | Local/Comm Unfamiliar | uter | | | | |
| Number of Driveways by T | ype:Bu | siness | Resident | ial | Other: | |
| Driveway Density: | Consistent the | = | gment oughout segn | nent | | |
| Number of Intersections by | туре: | Signalized | Unsig | nalized | | |
| Typical Building Offset to R | oadway: | Consistent | feet | | | |
| | | Varies from | to _ | feet | | |
| Multimodal Facilities | | | | | Circle as appropriate | |
| Are schools present along t | he segment? | | Υ | N Note: | | |
| Are parks or recreation area | as present along | the segment | ? Y | N Note: | | |
| Are pedestrian facilities present along the segment? | | | Υ | N Note: | | |
| Are transit facilities present | - | | Υ | N Note: | | |
| Are bicycle facilities present along the segment? | | | Y | N Note: | | |
| Is on-street parking permitt | ed? | | Υ | N Note: | | |
| Mileposted Crashes Fatal: Type A: _ | Туре В: | Туре | e C: | PDO: | _ | |
| From: M M / D D | / | M / D D | / Y Y | | | |
| Attachments | | | | | Check if applicable | |
| Strip Analysis Attach | ed Fea | atures Repor | t Attached | Neighbo | rhood Petition Attached | |

| Roadway Speed | d Limit Review Data Coll | ection FIELD Work | sheet | Version 2 |
|--|---|--------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------------|
| Date: MM/DD/ | Y Y Reference #: | | Completed By: | |
| County: | | Municipalit | ty: | |
| tudy Road: | | _ | | |
| Pavement Typical Width: Type: Condition: Marking Condition: Median Type: | feet Asphalt Concret Good/Fair Good/Fair None Traversa | Poor Poor | Other: | feet |
| anes | | | | |
| Total # of Thru Lanes: | Average W | idth:feet | TWLTL Present | t? Y N |
| Shoulders | | | | |
| Typical Width: | feet paved;feet | unpaved | Vaires from | to |
| Condition: Go Recoverable: Ye | ved Unpaved pod Fair s No Certical Sloped | Not present Poor Comment: None | | |
| Typical distance to roa | dside hazards:f | eet Vaires f | romto | _ |
| Signs | | | | |
| Note any warning or re | gulatory signs in visible ne | ed of replacement o | r repair | |
| Notes: | | | | |
| Oriving Investigation | | | | |
| _ | stigation of the segment ar ment, or horizontal alignm | | | - |
| Notes: | | | | |
| Circle as appropriate Pedestrian Activity Observe | | None Low None Low | Medium High Medium High | |
| Photographs | | | | |
| Description of any pho | tographs attached (comple | ete as necessary) | | |
| Notes: | | | | |
| | | | | _ |
| Attachments Photographs | 85% Speed | Raw Data | Ball Bank Study Form | Check if applicable |

| Roadway Speed Limit Review Data Collection FIELD Worksheet (cont.) | Version 2 |
|---|-------------------|
| Notes Use this sheet to record any additional notes about the study segment or the data collection effort | art. |
| Additionally, an image or drawing of the site can be provided at the bottom. | 1. |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Plan-view sketch of road segment Label each intersection | a control type |
| with major intersecting roads | ON COILLIOL LYPE |
| | ! |
| | I |
| | I |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Attachments List any additional attachments | to to this renart |
| Attachments List dry duditional attachment. | 5 lu lilis report |

| ate: M M / D D / | | | ination Worksl Comp | leted By: | Version 2 | |
|--|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------|--|
| ounty: | | | Municipality: | | | |
| tudy Road: | | | Current Speed Limit: | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| iis worksheet helps to re or each element, place ar | | | _ | | | |
| creasing the speed limit, | | · · | _ | | , por to | |
| | | | | | | |
| the far column, check th | ne box if the eleme | ent is critical in de | etermining the sp | beed limit for this i | road. | |
| | Supports | Supports No | Supports | | Check If | |
| Element | Reducing Speed | Change in | Increasing | Not Evaluated | Element is | |
| Road Classification & | Limit | Speed Limit | Speed Limit | | Critical | |
| Area Type | | | | | | |
| AADT / Traffic | | | | | | |
| Composition | | | | | | |
| Driveways / Intersections / Offset | | | | | | |
| Multimodal | | | | | | |
| Facilities | | | | | | |
| Crashes | | | | | | |
| Neighborhood Petition | | | | | | |
| Pavement Condition | | | | | | |
| Lanes | | | | | | |
| Shoulders | | | | | | |
| Horizontal Curves | | | | | | |
| Veritical Curves | | | | | | |
| Sight Distance | | | | | | |
| 85% Speed | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | |
| Other: | | | | | | |

| Roadways Speed Limit Review Speed Limit Determination Worksheet (cont.) | Version 2 |
|--|--------------|
| Comments and Discussion | |
| Include any additional factors which influenced the recommended speed limit. This could incl | ude observed |
| traffic conflicts, conditions not readily apparent to the driver (e.g. hidden neighborhoods, sch | |
| centers, seasonal generators, or generators which create unique traffic conditions), or known | |
| may also include consistency with other nearby similar roads. | · |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | _ |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| Decomposed of Speed Limits | |
| Recommended Speed Limit:mph | |
| | |